

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/503,673	02/14/2000	Meenarachagan Vishnu	FORE-54 7017		
7	07/06/2005		EXAMINER		
Ansel M Schwartz			TRAN, THIEN D		
One Sterling P	laza				
201 N Craig Street Suite 304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Pittsburgh, PA 15213			2665		

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		0\				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/503,673	VISHNU, MEENAR	ACHAGAN			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thien D. Tran	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	e final rejection, whicheven	er is later. In no			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	_					
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>	·	•	, ,			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s).			_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ winded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>4-15,19-25 and 29-32</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,16-18,26-28,33-35,37 and 38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary			
<ul> <li>9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> <li>10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ul>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO (DD (DD ) DTO (1111) T					
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper i	No(s)				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amended claims 1 and 16 are still rejected under 103(a) as being unpatentable over same references used in the final rejection, Ganmukhi (U.S Patent No. 5,850,399) and Lahat (U.S Patent No. 6,417,944).

DUCHO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Suchets-6-17-05